Assessment of Fine and Coarse Sewage Sludge Ashes for Their Potential Use in Civil Engineering
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Abstract: New environmental challenges and research opportunities arise on the use of solid wastes as well as their basic properties. In fact, recycling of these wastes and their by-products is attracting increasing interest worldwide because of the high environmental impact in the cement, concrete and other industries. Two types (type1: Fa100 and type 2: Ba100) of sludge ashes from the sludge waste center of Camberene (Senegal) were combined with different proportions of lime to assess their potential use in civil engineering. XRF, optical microscopy and spectroscopy were used to characterize the samples. The following major elements are present in the ashes: SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, CaO, P₂O₅. For the minor elements their concentration are very important in type 1 compared to those in type 2. These ashes have similar composition with cement except for CaO. The measurement of absorbance on the ashes shows a clear difference in optical absorption between samples. The lime pellet transmits more compared to Ba100 and Fa100 pellets. The materials obtained by mixing ash with lime in various proportions have a lower optical transmission with respect to lime and ash taken separately. Thus, the designed materials transmit less light which suggests an improved thermal isolation. The studied ashes suggest that they are not dangerous based on the Annex III of (criterion H14 of Directive 91 / 689 / EEC).

Keywords: Sewage Sludge Ash, Lime, XRF, Optical Microscopy and Spectroscopy

1. Introduction

The very high price of cement, the increasing demand for energy as well as the contribution to climate change by greenhouse gases (GHG) emissions in the atmosphere (CO₂ in particular) which are around 7% from cement manufacturing is partly responsible for global warming.

[1, 2]. Disposal and treatment of hazardous waste is expensive for industry. The production of sewage sludge (SS) for the United States of America (USA) of dry solids per year is 7 Mt while the European one [3, 4] was estimated to be 10 Mt in 2012. These sewage sludge are managed between incineration, agricultural and landfill [5, 6]. It is found that the incineration processes reduce the volume of the waste by 90% and its mass by 70%, the potential production of sewage sludge ash (SSA) would be about 3 Mt [7]. In 2012, Germany, France and the Netherlands have generated 0.7 Mt of SSA by incineration of 2.3 Mt of SS. This amount is increasing because of a decrease in the agriculture disposal option due to the presence of heavy metals and pathogenic agents and the increase in price for landfilling [8, 9]. Indeed these wastes contaminate the soil through the discharge of oil and other chemicals, and also affect the quality of surface water and groundwater [10].
incineration process is the most appropriate management option to deal with the volumes produced and the potentially unsafe elements the sewage sludge contains [7]. The co-incineration of SS with coal as supplementary fuel in cement kilns, power plants and brick kilns has been proposed in some countries (China, Cyprus, Spain) [11].

It was found in some earlier work that the sewage sludge ashes may possess pozzolanic properties, allowing them to be used in blended Portland cements [12]. However, more recent work has revealed that ashes possess very little if any pozzolanic activity [13], even when the ashes were milled to a high degree of fineness [7, 14]. Lynn and al [7] did the compendium of some data based on a total of 156 publications, dating from 1983–2015 and originating in 30 countries across Europe (72 publications), Asia (65), North America (11), South America (4), Africa (3) and Australia (1), with the largest contributions from Taiwan (27 publications), UK (19), Spain (17) and Japan (16). This shows that the data has been published over a period of 26 years and the majority of the research has been undertaken in the last 10 years, though as a sign of growing interest in the use of sustainable materials. In their paper the physical and chemical characteristics of SSA and its use as raw feed for cement clinker and as components in producing cement paste, mortar and concrete mixture, as well as fine, filler and manufactured lightweight aggregates were investigated.

Sludge ash is very interesting for many applications because it can be mixed with cement or binders such as lime to give rise to new materials with several attractive physical characteristics such as the increase in resilience, the long-term strength of mortars [5, 7, 15]. Their mechanical properties open industrial perspectives for the manufacture of cement and building at lower cost with some ecological contribution. In fact, new environmental challenges and research opportunities arise on the use of these solid wastest as well as their basic properties. Recycling of these wastes and their by-products is attracting increasing interest worldwide because of the high environmental impact in the cement, concrete and other industries. For example, lightweight concrete can be made from industrial by-products and hazardous solid wastes such as fly ash, sewage sludge ash, bottom ash, slag, etc. Cement can be replaced by 15 to 35% by this waste without significantly affecting the properties of the material obtained [10]. In some studies, replacing cement with 10% or 20% ash from sewage sludge shows an increase in setting time due to the significant presence of phosphorus in sewage ash [16]. Similarly, the compressive strength of the mortars was lower than that of the reference mortar consisting solely of cement [17]. In fact, the addition of these ashes slows down the kinetics of resistance development; however, the long-term strength of the mortar with addition was greater than that of the reference mortar [16]. In the literature, it is reported that these additions can improve sulphate concrete's strength, workability, and also decrease permeability [10]. It has been observed that the characteristics of ash from sewage sludge are similar to those of ceramics. Their use in ceramics applications for bricks, tiles and ceramic glass considerably improves these materials by densifying them, increasing their strengths [18]. Ceramic panes with ash additions have achieved higher resistances than natural materials such as granite and marble with strong durability properties [7].

The strength activity index (SAI) value of SSA was smaller than that of fly ash, the effectiveness of using SSA in mortar was lesser than that of fly ash [19]. Moreover, the water demand of SSA mortar is higher than that of ordinary cement mortar. Pan [20] found that the addition of SSA affected the mortar workability. This phenomenon is primarily due to the porous and irregular morphology of SSA. Consequently, it is difficult to adequately maintain water-to-cement ratio and workability of SSA mortar simultaneously. The application of mechanical grinding to adjust SSA fineness was an effective modification to improve SSA mortar properties [15].

Regarding the problems related to the cost of construction in the building, air pollution such as greenhouse gases and energy expenditure for the production of cement, we propose to study the effect of the integration of the ashes of Sewage treatment plant (STEP) in lime, to produce an environmentally-friendly cement. Indeed, lime can react with silica in the presence of water to produce a hydrated calcium silicate responsible for the resistance of cementitious materials. Since wastewater treatment plants produce large amounts of solid waste such as STEP ashes, they can be recovered and be used as building materials [3, 5, 10, 16].

A chemical characterization by X-ray fluorescence as well as an optical study by microscopy and by spectroscopy of our samples were conducted. In fact, X-ray fluorescence is one of the few techniques able to analyze samples non-destructively and in situ. It offers new possibilities for case analysis that cannot be satisfied by conventional techniques. To date, one of the most common applications has been the analysis of environmental samples, including industrial sites soils to study their contamination by heavy metal [21]. X-ray fluorescence is also used in ash characterization [2, 22, 23]. Among the many experimental methods used in the study of ash-based samples, optical microscopy and spectroscopy are non-destructive observation and analysis techniques with good spatial and temporal resolution. Optical microscopy allows us to monitor, spatially analyze in time and as a function of temperature the physical behavior of our samples and also to study the size distribution of the ashes. Optical spectroscopy allows us to track and determine transmission as a function of wavelengths from 200 nm to 2000 nm.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Origin of the Materials Used

The sewage sludge ashes used in this work come from the Senegalese sewage treatment plant of Cambere located in the Dakar region. The sludge is dewatered, dried and burnt in a fluidized bed incinerator. Then we obtain two types of sewage sludge ashes: fine and coarse.
Fine sludge ash has a gray color, is entrained by the combustion gases and is recovered during the purification while the coarse one has a black color and comes from the combustion chamber (Figure 1).

The fine ash, soft to the touch, is obtained from the incineration of sludge. It has an apparent density of 0.71 g/cm$^3$ and an absolute density of 2.4 g/cm$^3$ whereas the coarse ash has an absolute density and an apparent density of 2.1 g/cm$^3$ and 0.9 g/cm$^3$ respectively.

The lime, as an important material used in the building construction, has an apparent density varying between 0.6 and 0.75 g/cm$^3$ while its absolute density is in the range 2.6 and 2.9 g/cm$^3$.

2.2. Analytical Procedures

2.2.1. XRF Chemical Characterization

Samples were weighed and then introduced in oven at 105°C for 24h to remove moisture prior to the preparation of pellets made by mixing them with 10 wt% of a binder called Licowax [24, 25].

We use several mixtures by combining sewage sludge ash and lime at different proportion (Table 1).

The obtained mixture was homogenized in a mortar and the technology press VANEOS FLUXANA considering a force of 10 N on a surface of a disk of a radius of 11 mm was used to form the pellets. After obtaining the pellets, we used an X-ray portable fluorescence Niton XLT900s (P-XRF) for our X-ray analyzes with a measurement time of 350 s.

XRF was performed with 100% normalization and full fundamental parameter quantification techniques: see Table 2 for specification and operating conditions.

Table 1. Sewage sludge ash and lime at different proportion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix combinations</th>
<th>Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fine sludge ash</td>
<td>FA100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse sludge ash</td>
<td>BA100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime</td>
<td>CH100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sludge ash+10 wt% de lime</td>
<td>CH10FA90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sludge ash+20 wt% de lime</td>
<td>CH20FA90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sludge ash+30 wt% de lime</td>
<td>CH30FA90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse sludge ash+10 wt% de lime</td>
<td>CH10BA90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse sludge ash+20 wt% de lime</td>
<td>CH20BA80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse sludge ash+30 wt% de lime</td>
<td>CH30BA70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2. Optical Characterization

The optical absorption spectra were measured with the Ocean optics Inc S2000 spectrometer, which has been installed and combined with the color camera (DALSA Falcon 1.4M100 capable of capturing 100 frames per second) on the optical microscope (Nikon Eclipse LV100 POL can operate in illumination by reflection or transmission). The sample was enclosed in a cryogenic set based on a 4 K helium-flow cryostat system designed for optical microscopy (Microstat He with temperature controller ITC503/Oxford Instr.). The instrument is equipped with a specially designed sample cell, put in helium atmosphere so as to ensure a fast heat exchange and a good temperature homogeneity.

We used a Perkin Elmer LAMBDA 950 2-channel spectrophotometer equipped with transmission and reflection modules (6° to 68°). This allowed us to measure the pre-pelletized optical transmission spectra with approximately 90%
mass KBr. With this setting the optical response of our samples according to the dilution between the ash and the lime can be followed and some physical parameters determined.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. X-ray Fluorescence Analysis (XRF)

A portable XRF device Niton XLT900s was used to analyze the chemical composition of the sludge ashes in terms of major and minor elements. After XRF analysis of these ashes, we then calculated the major oxide composition using the following formulas (equations 1-6). Oxides are the major elements and are expressed in %, while the minor elements are given in mg/kg.

\[
\%S_iO_2 = \frac{\%S_i(A_{Si}+2A_{O})}{A_{Si}} \quad (1)
\]
\[
\%Al_iO_3 = \frac{\%Al(2A_{Li}+3A_{O})}{A_{Al}} \quad (2)
\]
\[
\%C_aO = \frac{\%C_a(A_{Ca}+A_{O})}{A_{Ca}} \quad (3)
\]
\[
\%M_gO = \frac{\%M_g(A_{Mg}+A_{O})}{A_{Mg}} \quad (4)
\]

In equations (1) to (6), \( A \) represents the atomic mass. The data obtained are presented in Table 3.

### Table 3. Results of major (%) and minor (mg/kg) elements using XRF technique of sewage sludge ash contents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Fly ash (type 1)</th>
<th>Bottom ash (type 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>33.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>12.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>8.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>8.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>1264</td>
<td>553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>3632</td>
<td>1156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table 4 we resume studies from other authors in order to give a comparative asset in term of elemental composition with the samples we have used.

### Table 4. Comparison of major (%) and minor (mg/kg) element contents measured with other authors working with the same materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>26.39</td>
<td>18.49</td>
<td>33.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>12.16</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>12.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>14.44</td>
<td>8.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>23.25</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>14.11</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>1264</td>
<td>2483</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>2636</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>3632</td>
<td>7103</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>1156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The high variability between values shows that sludge ashes depend on several parameters: sludge composition, treatment conditions such as incineration temperature and additives. The major elements concentrations in type 2 ash resemble to the sewage sludge ash in [17] except for P₂O₅ whose value is 3.86 in the former and 14.8 in the latter. In the same way the concentration of Fe₂O₃ is higher in type 2 ash. The minor elements are much less representative in our ashes when compared with those in Cyr’s work [5]. However the concentration of SiO₂, Al₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃ are similar to for both type 1 and sewage sludge ash in ref. [26] of Siew Choo Chin. The concentration of CaO in the former is instead four times higher than that in the latter. The similarity of these ashes with ours is important to highlight as they are both used in the processing of cement and concrete. Indeed, our ashes show some similarities with one type of cement in Senegal having the following chemical composition: SiO₂ (19.53), Al₂O₃ (7.12), Fe₂O₃ (2.22), CaO (65.5). These suggest that the sewage sludge ashes presented in this work may be used in civil engineering. The histograms of the concentrations of these two ashes against the measured elements (major and minor) are plotted for comparison (Figure 2). We find that concentrations of SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ are higher in bottom ash. As for heavy metals they are more important in fly ash than in bottom ash, this could be due to their volatility. For example, the concentration of Pb is about five times higher in fly ash than in bottom ash, while that of Zn is 3.5 times greater in fly ash than that of Zn in ash in the bottom ash. Analogous observations are also relayed by Rajamma [22], in their work on the characterization and use of biomass fly ash in cement
based-materials. From the point of view of availability, bottom ash is more important than fly ash.

![Chemical Composition in Major (a) and Minor Elements (b).](image)

### 3.2. Optical Microscopy and Optical Absorption Spectroscopy Analyses

Optical microscopy combined with optical absorption spectroscopy, has allowed us to observe and measure the absorbance of the powdered samples, revealing a highly inhomogeneous ash particle size distribution for the Ba100 compound (Figures 4 and 5). The absorption and photospectroscopy measurements are performed respectively on powder samples and pellets, at ambient temperature and pressure, by using the same experimental setup. The transmitted light is injected by optical fiber in the spectrometer Ocean Optics 2000. At the start of the experiments, we first characterized the incident spectrum of lamp injected directly to the detector of the USB spectrometer through the optical fiber [27]. The analysis of the absorbance spectra on the ashes shows the existence of a clear difference in optical absorption between samples, for example Fa100 and Ba100 ashes (Figure 5).

The absorbance log $\frac{I_0}{I}$ (where $I_0$ is the incident intensity and $I$ is the transmitted intensity) of Ba100 is greater than one while that of Fa100 is lesser than one. This means that in the visible light the number of particles absorbing in Ba100 is more important than that in Fa100. From the optical micrographs in Figure 3 it can be observed that the SSA has a porous structure and the particles size are in irregular shape, this influence the absorbance (Figures 4 and 5).

![Optical microscope (objective of X20/NA=0.40) images of (a) lime, (b) Ba100 ash and (c) Fa100 ash.](image)
Figure 4. Particles size distribution of Fa100 ash (a) and Ba100 ash (b).

Figure 5. Absorbance spectra of lime powders (CH100), Fa100 and Ba100 sludge ashes.

The optical spectra in Figure 5 show differences in absorption bands in the visible region. The shape of the absorption edge depends on the particle size distribution. Hence the differences in the absorbance is due to the total number of large particles and the dark particles per unit surface being higher in BA100 than in FA100 compounds [28, 29].

The compounds CH100, Fa100, Ba100, studied by spectrophotometry, as shown in Figure 6, exhibit a nonlinear transmission behavior. The measurements show a high transmission in the infrared of CH100 but a low transmission for the others samples. The lime pellet transmits more compared to Ba100 and Fa100 pellets. The irregular particles shape of the SSA is believed to lower the transmission.

Figure 6. Transmission spectra of Fa100, Ba100 and CH100.

When lime is added to the ashes, it is observed that the transmission increases with the percentage of lime added but always remains lower than that of the sample consisting only of ashes (FA100) (Figure 7a). However, for BA100, it can be seen that the highest transmission is obtained with CH20BA80 and the lowest with CH30BA70. The CH10BA90 lies in between (Figure 7b).

Figure 7. Transmission spectra of a) Fa100 and its corresponding mixtures and b) Ba100 and their corresponding mixtures.

This shows that the behavior of these two ashes mixed with lime in identical proportions is not the same. This is in agreement with results obtained by optical microscopy. When the percentage of added lime is less than 20%, BA samples have a higher transmission than those of FA. Beyond that, the opposite is observed.

In the field of construction, to have materials with thermal
In most of cases, the heavy metal contents of these ashes are below the threshold concentration in Table 5, so the ashes cannot therefore be a priori considered dangerous on the basis of these criteria. The classification of ashes can vary according to the criteria used, depending on the geographic location as well. However some researchers differ on the definition of toxicity of ashes. Some will put them in landfill while for bottom ash it is CH30BA70. These two ashes do not have the same optical behavior when mixed with lime.

### 4. Toxicological Risk

The possible dangerousness of the ash from STEP sludge depends essentially on their heavy metal content with regard to the limits of regulated hazardous substances on one hand, and on the other hand on the overall ecotoxicity of the ash (criterion H14 of Directive 91 / 689 / EEC).

In this Directive the material is toxic if its content in heavy metal is higher to some thresholds as defined in Annex III (Table 5).

#### Table 5. Comparison of the concentration of minor elements in ashes with thresholds defined in Annex-III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/kg) type 1 ash</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/kg) type 2 ash</th>
<th>Threshold concentration (mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>1264</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study, the design materials transmit less light suggesting an improved thermal isolation. For the fine ash the weakest transmission is observed for CH10FA90 while for bottom ash it is CH30BA70. These two ashes do not have the same optical behavior when mixed with lime.

### 5. Conclusion

The potential use of fine and coarse sewage sludge ash in civil engineering was investigated.

Both ashes and mixtures have been characterized:

- Most of the chemical elements in the samples are in compliance with cement contents except for CaO, which is found to be less important. This is suggesting that these ashes can be used in ceramic materials, cement and concrete.
- The lime pellet transmits more compared to Ba100 and Fa100 pellets. The transmission increases with the percentage of lime added but always remains lower than that of the sample consisting only of ashes (FA100). For BA100, it can be seen that the highest transmission is obtained with CH20BA80 and the lowest with CH30BA70.
- Thus the materials transmit less light suggesting an improved thermal isolation. The measurement of the absorbance on the ashes shows the existence of a clear difference in optical absorption between the samples. The heavy metal contents of these ashes are below the threshold in Annex III of (criterion H14 of Directive 91 / 689 / EEC) indicating their non-dangerousness. As perspective, other complementary techniques such as X-ray diffraction will be used to assess the formation of new phases before and after hydration. Similarly, other basic knowledge about dimensional stability, compressive strength, density, thermal properties and water demand should be investigated.
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